# Altered ABA, proline and hydrogen peroxide in an Arabidopsis glutamate:glyoxylate aminotransferase mutant

Paul E. Verslues · Yong-Sig Kim · Jian-Kang Zhu

Received: 7 December 2006 / Accepted: 28 January 2007 / Published online: 23 February 2007 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2007

Abstract Plant responses to abiotic stress are determined both by the severity of the stress as well as the metabolic status of the plant. Abscisic acid (ABA) is a key component in integrating these various signals and controlling downstream stress responses. By screening for plants with decreased RD29A:LUC expression, we isolated two alleles, glutamate:glyoxylate transferase1-1 (ggt1-1) and ggt1-2, of a mutant with altered ABA sensitivity. In addition to reduced ABA induction of RD29A, ggt1-1 was altered in ABA and stress regulation of  $\Delta^{I}$ -pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase, proline dehydrogenase and 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase 3, which encode enzymes involved in Pro and ABA metabolsim, respectively. ggt1-1 also had altered ABA and Pro contents after stress or ABA treatments while root growth and leaf water loss were relatively unaffected. A light-dependent increase in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation was observed in ggt1-1 consistent with the previously characterized role of GGT1 in photorespiration. Treatment with exogenous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, as well as analysis of a mutant in nucleoside diphosphate kinase 2

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11103-007-9145-z) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

P. F. Verslues , L-K. Zhu (🖂)
1. E. Versides JK. Zhu (🖂)
Department of Botany and Plant Sciences, Institute for
Integrative Genome Biology, University of California,
Riverside, CA 92521, USA
e-mail: jian-kang.zhu@ucr.edu

Present Address: Y.-S. Kim Department of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA which also had increased  $H_2O_2$  content but is not involved in photorespiration or amino acid metabolism, demonstrated that the greater ABA stimulation of Pro accumulation in these mutants was caused by altered  $H_2O_2$  content as opposed to other metabolic changes. The results suggest that metabolic changes that alter  $H_2O_2$  levels can affect both ABA accumulation and ABA sensitivity.

**Keywords** Abiotic stress · Abscisic acid · Glutamate:glyoxylate aminotransferase · Hydrogen peroxide · Nucleoside diphosphate kinase 2 · Proline

# Abbreviations

ABA	Abscisic acid
COR15	Cold responsive 15
GGT1	Glutamate:glyoxylate transferase 1
NCED3	9-cis-Epoxycarotenoed dioxygenase 3
NDPK2	Nucleoside diphosphate kinase 2
P5CS1	$\Delta^1$ -Pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase 1
PEG	Polyethylene glycol 8000
ProDH	Proline dehydrogenase
QPCR	Quantitative real-time reverse
	transcriptase PCR
RAB18	Responsive to ABA18
RD29A:LUC	RD29A (responsive to
	dessication29A) promoter controlling
	expression of the luciferase coding
	region
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
SA	Salicylic acid
SHMT1	Serine-hydroxylmethyl transferase

### Introduction

Plants must sense and respond to many types of abiotic stresses and integrate these signals with internally generated signals which reflect factors such as metabolic status or development state. The combined output of these signals then modifies gene expression, metabolism and growth and development to adapt to the stress (Zhu et al. 2002). Many of these stress responses are regulated by abscisic acid (ABA). ABA accumulation occurs in response to various stresses that cause a decrease in tissue water content, such as dehydration induced by low water potential and salinity (Zeevaart 1999; Finkelstein et al. 2002; Xiong and Zhu 2003). ABA acts through a complex signaling network and most known ABA signaling components control only a subset of ABA responses (Finkelstein et al. 2002).

A preponderance of evidence supports ABA as a required component of normal stress response in plants (Finkelstein et al. 2002; Zhu 2002). However, the involvement of other signals and how these other signals interact with the ABA signal remain unanswered questions. These questions arise, at least in part, from a number of observations that have shown that ABA applied to unstressed plants often does not elicit the same response as ABA accumulation that occurs under stress conditions (Sharp et al. 1994; Imai et al. 1995; Verslues and Bray 2006). Control of ABA accumulation and sensitivity also appears to involve extensive feedback regulation (Xiong et al. 2002; Verslues and Bray 2006).

These observations highlight the importance of characterizing factors that may modulate responses to ABA under different conditions. One specific hypothesis worthy of investigation is that reactive oxygen species (ROS), generated by a number of metabolic mechanisms, can alter ABA sensitivity. There is specific genetic evidence that  $H_2O_2$  generated by NADPH oxidases acts downstream of ABA in mediating stomatal closure (Zhang et al. 2001; Kwak et al. 2003; Bright et al. 2006). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> may also be involved in signaling a variety of other hormone and stress responses (Chang et al. 2004; Mori and Schroeder 2004; Shin and Schachtman 2004; Kwak et al. 2006). Abitotic stress can cause many metabolic changes and is known to increase ROS levels in plant tissue (Foyer and Noctor 2003, 2005). ROS, along with ABA accumulation, has been proposed to be a key component of "cross tolerance" to multiple types of stress (Pastori and Foyer 2002). High levels of ROS lead to cellular damage and are involved in programmed cell death (Pavet et al. 2005; Van Breusegem and Dat 2006). However, increasing evidence suggests that ROS can have effects on signaling and metabolism at levels well below that required to cause general cellular damage. Thus even relatively small changes in the production of ROS, or the antioxidants needed to control ROS levels, can have significant effects on signal transduction. In addition, there is evidence that the specific chemical identity of the ROS or antioxidant molecules involved and the site of ROS production can determine the specificity of the response (op den Camp et al. 2003; Ball et al. 2004; Foyer and Noctor 2005; Mullineaux et al. 2006).

Of the ROS generated in plant tissue, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is the least reactive and has the potential to persist longer in the cell and may move between cellular compartments. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> can be generated via several metabolic mechanisms: in the chloroplasts through the Mehler reaction of photosystem I (Asada 2006), in peroxisomes by the photorespiratory glycolate oxidase reaction (del Rio et al. 2006), in mitochondria through electron transport (Rhoads et al. 2006) and on the plasma membrane by NADPH-oxidases (Sagi and Fluhr 2006; Kwak et al. 2006). Under conditions of high light or where photorespiration is inhibited, the chloroplast and peroxisome will be the main source of  $H_2O_2$ ; however, how an increase in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> from these sources will affect other ROS dependent signaling, such as ABA signaling, is not well understood. In addition to these H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> sources, other genes, whose relation to  $H_2O_2$  production are more obscure, also are involved in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> signaling. One regulator which has been identified is nucleoside diphosphate kinase 2 (NDPK2). ndpk2 mutants have increased ROS accumulation and sensitivity and NDPK2 physically interacts with mitogen-activated protein kinase 3 (MAPK3) and MAPK6 which are also known to be involved in  $H_2O_2$  signaling (Moon et al. 2003).

Our laboratory has used a forward genetics approach to study the regulatory mechanisms controlling abiotic stress and ABA responses through identification of factors that affect the expression of Arabidopsis RD29A and other stress regulated genes. RD29A is known to be induced by cold, salinity, dehydration and exogenous ABA (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki 1993; Ishitani et al. 1997; Xiong et al. 1999; Zhu 2002). Here we describe two mutant alleles (ggt1-1 and ggt1-2) of glutamate:glyoxylate transferase 1 (GGT1) identified through screening for reduced RD29A induction by stress and ABA. GGT1 activity is required for photorespiration and ggt1-1 also has altered gene expression, ABA content and proline accumulation in response to abiotic stress or ABA treatments. ggt1 also has increased H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content which is likely to be the principal factor causing the altered ABA response. The relationship of the increased ABA-responsive proline accumulation and other *ggt1* phenotypes to  $H_2O_2$  is further supported by similar changes in *ndpk2*, which also has increased  $H_2O_2$  but is not involved in photorespiration or amino acid metabolism. The results suggest that many types of metabolic changes that increase tissue  $H_2O_2$  levels are likely to alter ABA response.

# Results

# ggt1-1 has altered ABA- and stress-responsive gene expression

Previously, we have described large scale screening of ethyl methanesulfonate mutagenized plants containing a construct where the promoter of RD29A drives expression of a luciferase reporter gene (Ishitani et al. 1997). ggt1-1 was isolated from this screen as a mutant with reduced ABA-responsive RD29A expression. In ggt1-1, expression of RD29A:LUC was approximately one-third of the wild-type level at 3 h after application of 100 µM ABA (Fig. 1a). Cold treatment, which does not induce a high level of ABA accumulation (Zhu et al. 2005), produced the same induction of RD29A:-LUC as in wild-type (Fig. 1b). Transfer of seedlings to 300 mM NaCl produced a similar increase in RD29A expression in mutant and wild-type until 5 h after transfer when ggt1-1 had less expression than wild-type (Fig. 1c). At this time point, it is likely that the expression of RD29A:LUC was also affected by reduced ABA accumulation in the mutant (see below).

We used quantitative RT-PCR (QPCR) to quantify expression of the endogenous RD29A gene and found that it was also less highly expressed in ggt1-1 than wild-type at 4 h after transfer of seedlings to -1.2 MPa polyethylene glycol (PEG), 150 mM NaCl or 100 µM ABA (Fig. 2a). The difference between ggt1-1 and wild-type was particularly pronounced in the PEGtreated seedlings. This is likely because of the high level of induction of RD29A in wild-type seedlings and the reduced ABA accumulation in PEG-treated ggt1-1 seedlings (see below). In the same experiments, two other commonly examined stress- and ABA-regulated genes, RAB18 and COR15, did not have consistently altered expression in ggt1-1 (Fig. 2b, c). This suggests that ggt1-1 does not affect all of the mechanisms by which ABA regulates gene expression.

We did, however, observe altered expression of genes involved in Pro and ABA metabolism. Stressand ABA-induced upregulation of  $\Delta^1$ -pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase (P5CS1), the rate-limiting enzyme in stress-induced Pro synthesis (Zhang et al. 1995) was reduced in ggt1-1 (Fig. 2d). Similarly, down-regulation of proline dehydrogenase (ProDH), which catalyzes the catabolism of Pro (Kiyosue et al. 1996), was also impaired in ggt1-1 (Fig. 2e). Likewise, expression of the gene encoding a key enzyme in stress-induced ABA biosynthesis, 9-cis-epoxycarotenoed dioxygenase 3 (NCED3; Tan et al. 2003) was also induced less strongly in ggt1-1 than in wild-type (Fig. 2f). The change in ABA-induced NCED3 expression in particular suggests that feedback regulation of ABA metabolism was affected in ggt1-1.

*ggt1* is mutated in an aminotransferase required for photorespiration and has increased  $H_2O_2$  content

During the initial characterization of ggt1-1, we noticed that ggt1-1 plants had a pale green color and grew more slowly than wild-type (Supplementary Fig. 1). We also noted that although ggt1-1 did not affect cold tolerance of plants kept in the dark, ggt1-1 plants subjected to cold and light treatment exhibited severe leaf chlorosis (data not shown). This light sensitivity of ggt1-1 could be alleviated either by reduced light intensity (data not shown) or by growth on media containing sucrose (Supplementary Fig. 1). These phenotypes suggested that the altered stress responses in ggt1-1 may be associated with an impairment in photosynthesis or light sensing.

The light sensitivity of ggt1-1 was used to isolate the mutated gene by a map based cloning strategy. Genetic analysis of ggt1-1 showed it to be recessive (data not shown) and mapping narrowed the location of the mutation to BAC F26F24. Twenty-nine candidate genes were sequenced and a C to T change was found at position 46956 in At1g23310 (Fig. 3a). After the ggt1-1 mutation was identified, we discovered a T-DNA insertion mutant of the same gene with a similar phenotype (reduced RD29A:LUC expression and light sensitivity) in a T-DNA mutagenized population of RD29A:LUC [this T-DNA mutagenized population is described in Zhu et al. (2002)]. The T-DNA mutant line was designated as ggt1-2 and has an insertion at position 46263 in the seventh exon of At1g23310 (Fig. 3a). F<sub>1</sub> seedlings of a cross between the two mutants exhibited the same light sensitive phenotype as either parental line (Fig. 3b); thus establishing that the phenotype is caused by the same gene in both mutant lines.

ggt1-2 is almost certainly a null allele and this was confirmed by the absence of transcript in ggt1-2 under conditions where the wild-type transcript is present



Fig. 1 RD29A:LUC expression in wild-type and ggt1-1 seedlings. Left panels are luminescence intensities quantified from individual seedlings. Data are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 10). Middle panels are luminescence images at the final time point for each treatment. Right panels are pictures of the same plate of seedlings used for the luminescence image shown in the middle panel. (A) Luminescence intensities after spraying seedlings with 100  $\mu$ M ( $\pm$ )ABA. (B) Luminescence intensities after transfer of seedlings to 0°C. (C) Luminescence intensities after transfer of seedlings to 300 mM NaCl

(Fig. 3c). ggt1-1 still produces full-length transcript (Fig. 3c) but the mutation changes the highly conserved Leu<sup>109</sup> to Phe. To confirm that ggt1-1 is also a null mutation, *GGT1* cDNAs were isolated from both the wild-type and ggt1-1; expressed as glutathione S-transferase fusion proteins in *Escherichia coli*; and assayed to show that the ggt1-1 protein lacked the alanine aminotransferase activity of the wild-type protein (Supplementary Fig. 2). This analysis confirmed that the loss of aminotransferase activity was responsible for both the ggt1-1 and ggt1-2 phenotypes.

GGT1 [also referred to as GGAT1 by Igarashi et al. (2003, 2006)] has been shown to catalyze four amino transferase reactions (Liepman and Olsen 2001, 2003; Igarashi et al. 2003): Glu-glyoxylate aminotransfer, Ala-glyoxylate aminotransfer, Glu-pyruvate aminotransfer and Ala-2-ketoglutarate aminotransfer. GGT1 contains a type I peroxisomal targeting signal and has been shown to be localized to peroxisomes (Liepman and Olsen 2003). Strong evidence supports a role for GGT1 in photorespiratory metabolism. First, Glu-glyoxylate aminotransfer and/or Ala-glyoxylate aminotransferases are

required for photorespiration because these reactions generate glycine in the peroxisome (Wingler et al. 2000; Liepman and Olsen 2003). A light sensitive phenotype which can be alleviated by addition of sucrose, as seen in *ggt1*, is consistent with impaired photorespiration and Igarashi et al. (2003) have also shown that the impaired growth of a *ggt1* [referred to as *aoat1* in Igarashi et al. (2003)] knockout mutant can be rescued by high CO<sub>2</sub>. Also consistent with a photorespiratory role of GGT1 is the observation that *GGT1* expression is down regulated in the dark [Fig. 3c; Liepman and Olsen (2004)]. Overexpression of GGT1 increases Ser, Gly and citrulline levels, demonstrating that it is also important in amino acid metabolism (Igarashi et al. 2006).

Identification of the mutated gene in ggt1-1 and ggt1-2 offered a ready explanation for the light sensitivity of these plants. It did not, however, offer as ready an explanation for the altered ABA and abiotic stress responses. One possibility is that the impaired photorespiration in ggt1 could lead to increased ROS levels which could in turn influence ABA and stress responses. Increased ROS has been observed in a serinehydroxylmethyl transferase (SHMT1) mutant (Moreno et al. 2005) and photorespiratory metabolism in the chloroplast and peroxisome is known to be a source of  $H_2O_2$  (Foyer and Noctor 2005). We first investigated the ROS content of ggt1-1 by staining wild-type and ggt1-1 leaves with NBT or DAB to detect  $O_2^-$  or  $H_2O_2$ , respectively (Supplementary Fig. 3). The results indicated an increased level of H2O2 but little difference in  $O_2^{-}$ . This difference in  $H_2O_2$  was further investigated by quantitative determination of seedling H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> using an Amplex Red assay (Shin and Schachtman 2004). Light grown ggt1-1 seedlings, but not dark grown seedlings, had increased levels of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> relative to wild-type (Fig. 3d), consistent with a photorespiratory origin of the increased  $H_2O_2$  in *ggt1-1*.

Altered ABA and stress responses in ggt1-1 are associated with increased  $H_2O_2$ 

The increased  $H_2O_2$  content of unstressed *ggt1-1* suggests a possibility as to how a mutation affecting photorespiration could also alter ABA responses. We performed further experiments to characterize the extent to which ABA responses are altered in *ggt1-1* and to test whether these alterations are indeed linked to the increased  $H_2O_2$  content of *ggt1-1*. Because  $H_2O_2$  has already been implicated in guard cell ABA signaling and we observed changes in gene expression in *ggt1-1* related to ABA and Pro metabolism (Fig. 2), we focused on experiments testing the ABA and stress



**Fig. 2** Quantitative PCR analysis of *RD29A* (**A**), *RAB18* (**B**), *COR15* (**C**),  $\Delta^{I}$ -pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase1 (**D**), Pro dehydrogenase (**E**) and 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase3 (**F**) expression in wild-type (*WT*) and ggt1-1 seedlings. Seven-day-old seedlings were transferred from control plates to either fresh control plates or plates containing PEG (-1.2 MPa), NaCl

(150 mM) or ABA (100  $\mu$ M). Samples were collected at 4 h after transfer and used for RNA extraction and QPCR as described in Sect. "Methods." Data are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 3-5). Asterisks indicate a significant difference ( $P \le 0.05$ ) between the mutant and WT exposed to the same treatment

sensitivity and stress-induced ABA and Pro accumulation of *ggt1-1*.

To quantify the effect of ggt1-1 on ABA sensitivity, we measured three ABA-regulated traits: seed germination, Pro accumulation and root elongation. Seed germination is the most commonly studied ABA-regulated trait and has been subjected to extensive genetic analysis (Finkelstein et al. 2002). ggt1-1 was unaffected in ABA sensitivity of seed germination under these experimental conditions (Fig. 4a). This indicated either that ggt1-1 did not have altered H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in nonphotosynthetic tissues such as germinating seeds or that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> status does not have a major role in germination. To test whether altered H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> metabolism could affect seed germination, we quantified the response of wild-type seed germination to ABA in the presence of exogenous  $H_2O_2$  (2 mM) or the  $H_2O_2$ scavenger ascorbate (Asc, 2 mM). Exogenous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> had little effect on germination; but, Asc blocked the inhibition of seed germination by high levels of ABA (Fig. 4b). These results were consistent with the lack of altered germination in ggt1-1. The Asc treatment indicated that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is required for the inhibition of seed germination by ABA. Thus, when Asc is present to remove  $H_2O_2$  from the seed, the ability of ABA to inhibit germination is decreased. However, adding more H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> does not enhance the ABA response,

either because some other signaling components are required to get more response to ABA or because the exogenous  $H_2O_2$  does not have the same effect or does not reach the same cellular compartments within the seed, as endogenously produced  $H_2O_2$ .

Pro accumulation is also of interest because the response of Pro accumulation to ABA is clearly dependent not only on the amount of ABA but also on the plant's sensitivity, or competency, to respond to the ABA (Verslues and Bray 2006). Pro accumulation was higher in untreated ggt1-1 seedlings and also increased to a higher than wild-type level upon ABA treatment (Fig. 4c). This is consistent with the fact that the Pro measurements were conducted in growing seedlings where ggt1-1 had a significantly increased  $H_2O_2$  content. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment (500 µM) of wild-type seedlings increased Pro content of untreated and ABA treated seedlings in a manner similar to that seen in ggt1-1 (Fig. 4d), suggesting that this phenotype of ggt1-1 may be caused by its increased H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content. Conversely, treatment with the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> scavenger Asc blocked ABAinduced Pro accumulation (Fig. 4d). The increased Pro accumulation after H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment was different than what might have been predicted based on the decreased ABA induction of *P5CS1* and decreased repression of *ProDH* (Fig. 2d, e). This suggests that  $H_2O_2$  may affect Pro accumulation by other mechanisms in addition to



Fig. 3 ggt1-1 and ggt1-2 are mutated in a peroxisomal aminotransferase and have increased H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content. (A) Diagram showing the gene structure of GGT1 and the positions of the mutation in ggt1-1 and the T-DNA insertion in ggt1-2. Numbers in the diagram refer to position within BAC F26F24. (**B**) Allelism test of ggt1-1 and ggt1-2. Wild-type (WT), ggt1-1. ggt1-2 and F1 seedlings of  $ggt1-1 \times ggt1-2$  were plated on MS media and kept under normal light for 1 week before being photographed. (C) RNA gel blot analysis of light regulated expression of GGT1. RNA was isolated from light or dark grown seedlings and 10 µg of total RNA loaded in each lane. GGT1 transcript was not detected in the ggt1-2 mutant. The blot was probed with tubulin as a loading control. (**D**)  $H_2O_2$  content of light and dark grown seedlings of WT (C24) and ggt1-1. Seedlings were plated on half-strength MS with 0.5% sucrose and after stratification either grown under normal light conditions (70  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) or kept in darkness. Seedlings were harvested after 7 days and  $H_2O_2$  quantified. Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 4. Asterisk indicates that the H2O2 content of ggt1-1 was significantly different than that of WT ( $P \le 0.05$ )

transcriptional regulation, such as stimulation of P5CS1 enzyme activity or repression of ProDH activity. It should be noted that because MES buffer was used in all experiments, addition of Asc did not affect the pH of the growth media.

The response of root elongation to exogenous ABA was also quantified. We focused on treatments with low levels of exogenous ABA because these treatments are more consistent with both the level of ABA found in stressed plants (Verslues and Bray 2006) and the physiological role of ABA in maintaining or promoting, rather than inhibiting, root growth under stress (Sharp et al. 1994). Treatment with 0.5 µM ABA stimulated root elongation in each genotype relative to its control root elongation but this effect was the same in both wild-type and ggt1-1 (Fig. 4e). In the absence of ABA treatment, root elongation of ggt1-1 was reduced nearly 50% compared to wild-type  $(33.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ mm for})$ wild-type versus  $17.8 \pm 0.6$  mm for ggt1-1; Supplementary Fig. 4). These data suggest that while ggt1-1 does affect root elongation, it does not affect the response of root elongation to ABA.

# ggt1-1 differs from wild-type in stress-induced ABA accumulation

Previous measurements in this experimental system (Verslues et al. 2006; Verslues and Bray 2006) have shown that ABA content increases rapidly after transfer of seedlings to PEG-infused plates and reaches a maximal level by 8 h after transfer (Verslues et al. 2006; Verslues and Bray 2006). Thus, ABA content of wild-type and *ggt1-1* was measured at 8 h after transfer to low water potential (PEG) or NaCl stress. *ggt1-1* had decreased ABA accumulation after transfer to either PEG or NaCl (Fig. 5a). This is consistent with the decreased induction of *NCED3* in *ggt1-1* (Fig. 2f) and again suggests that *ggt1-1* may affect feedback regulation of ABA synthesis.

As a broader measure of the stress resistance of ggt1-1, root elongation of low water potential- or NaCltreated seedlings was quantified. This analysis showed that the relative root growth of ggt1-1 was greater than wild-type at all severities of low water potential tested (Fig. 5b). The greater relative root growth of ggt1-1 likely reflects in large part the reduced root elongation of unstressed ggt1-1 seedlings. However, the lesser inhibition of ggt1-1, as well as the lack of apparent bleaching or other damage to the ggt1-1 seedlings (Supplementary Fig. 4) also indicates that under these growth conditions ggt1-1 is not inherently more sensitive to low water potential. A similar result is observed for NaCl-stressed seedlings where relative root elongation of ggt1-1 is greater than that of wild-type at 50 mM NaCl and only slightly more affected or the same as wild-type at 100 and 150 mM (Fig. 5c).



**Fig. 4** Effect of ggt1-1 and  $H_2O_2$  or Asc treatments on seed germination, Pro accumulation and root elongation responses to exogenous ABA. For all experiments, the control media was half-strength MS with MES buffer without sugar with other growth conditions as indicated in Sect. "Methods." (A) Response of wild-type (*WT*, ecotype C24) and ggt1-1 seed germination to ABA. Germination was scored as radicle emergence 96 h after the end of stratification. Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 4. (B) Effect of exogenous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (2 mM) or Asc (2 mM) added to the media before stratification on ABA inhibition of seed germination. Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 4. (C) ABA-induced Pro accumulation in *WT* and ggt1-1. Sevenday-old seedlings were transferred from control media to the

It was also of interest to determine whether ggt1-1 was affected in its control of leaf water loss because of the extensive evidence suggesting a role of  $H_2O_2$  as an ABA signaling intermediate in stomatal movement. ggt1-1 had a slightly greater rate of leaf water loss than wild-type (Fig. 5d). This suggests that despite the evidence that  $H_2O_2$  acts downstream of ABA in stomatal closure, the increased leaf  $H_2O_2$  content of ggt1-1 was insufficient to promote stomatal closure.

# ndpk2 also has increased $H_2O_2$ and altered ABA response

To further determine that it was the increased  $H_2O_2$ and not other metabolic changes that caused the altered ABA response of *ggt1-1*, we sought to examine the ABA response of another, metabolicly unrelated,

indicated treatments and seedling Pro content measured 96 h later. Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 6-8. Asterisks indicate treatments where the Pro content of ggt1-1 was significantly different than that of WT ( $P \le 0.05$ ). (**D**) Effect of exogenous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (500 µM) or Asc (2 mM) on ABA-induced Pro accumulation. Experimental procedures and data are as in (**C**). (**E**) Effect of exogenous ABA on root elongation in WT and ggt1-1. Root elongation is presented as a percent of the elongation on control media for each genotype. Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 14-16. Root elongation of ggt1-1 was not significantly different than that of WT in either treatment. Pictures of representative seedlings and mean root length increases for each treatment are given in Supplementary Fig. 4

mutant which also accumulates more H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> than wildtype. Besides providing confirmation of the effects of elevated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, examination of such a mutant is advantageous because many other photorespiratory mutants, which are likely to have increased  $H_2O_2$ , also have severely retarded growth and development making them difficult to examine under the experiment conditions used for ggt1. A knockout of ndpk2 has been reported to have increased ROS (Moon et al. 2003); however, it does not have any known function in photorespiration or amino acid metabolism. We found that both unstressed and PEG-treated ndpk2 seedlings had increased  $H_2O_2$  content (Fig. 6a). We also found that *ndpk2* seedlings germinated poorly (approximately half of the *ndpk2* seed was inviable or germinated more slowly than wild-type under our standard growth conditions) and grew more slowly than wild-



type under control conditions (Fig. 6a). Root length increase of wild-type (ecotype Columbia) seedlings over 6 days was  $45.4 \pm 1.1$  mm versus  $26.4 \pm 1.8$  mm for *ndpk2*.

Like ggt1-1, ndpk2 had increased Pro in both control and ABA treated seedlings (Fig. 6b). This result further suggests that it was the increased  $H_2O_2$  content, and not altered amino acid metabolism, that caused the increased Pro levels in these mutants. Also consistent with an altered ABA response in ndpk2 was the dramatic stimulation of ndpk2 root growth by ABA (Fig. 6c; representative seedlings are shown in Fig. 5).  $\triangleleft$  Fig. 5 Stress responses of wild-type (WT, ecotype C24) and ggt1-1. Control media for all experiments was half-strength MS with 6 mM MES but without added sugar. (A) ABA contents 8 h after transfer of 7-day-old seedlings to fresh control media or plates containing PEG (-1.2 MPa) or NaCl (150 mM). Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 4-8. Asterisks indicate treatments where the ABA content of ggt1-1 was significantly different than that of WT ( $P \le 0.05$ ). (**B**) and (**C**) Root elongation of WT and ggt1-1 seedlings transferred to the indicated PEG (B) or NaCl (C) treatments. Seedlings were 4 days old at the time of transfer and root elongation over the 6 days following transfer was quantified. Data presentation is as in Fig. 4e. Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 14-16. Asterisks indicate treatments where root elongation of get1-1 was significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) than that of WT. Pictures of representative seedlings and mean root length increases for each treatment are given in Supplementary Fig. 4. (D) Leaf water loss of WT and ggt1-1. Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 6. Water content of ggt1-1 leaves was significantly less ( $P \le 0.02$ ) than that of WT at all time points from 0.5 to 6 h after detachment

Such an ABA stimulation of root growth would not be expected in ggt1-1 because the increased  $H_2O_2$  production occurs in photosynthetic tissue.

# Discussion

ggt1-1 affects stress and ABA responses indirectly by altering  $H_2O_2$  status

Determining the molecular mechanisms of ROS and metabolic signaling offers a particular challenge because it must be determined whether the effects attributed to a particular gene or protein represent a direct involvement in signaling or an indirect effect caused by more general changes in metabolic status. In many cases, the basic metabolic functions, but not the specific signaling functions, of the proteins involved may already be known. Perhaps the best studied example of this is Arabidopsis HEXOKINASE1 (HXK1) which has distinct roles in both sugar metabolism and signal transduction. hxk1 mutants that lack catalytic activity can still interact with other proteins to regulate glucoseresponsive transcriptional changes in the nucleus (Moore et al. 2003; Cho et al. 2006). In other cases, a metabolic enzyme may still have a substantial effect on the output of a particular signaling pathway, but the mechanism may be more indirect through changes in levels of key metabolites or generation of ROS. Our data indicate that ggt1-1 likely has such an indirect effect on stress and ABA response through inhibited photorespiration which leads to increased H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels.

Our results demonstrate that ggt1-1 caused a lightdependent increase in  $H_2O_2$  content, consistent with the established role of GGT1 in photorespiratory



Fig. 6 ABA responses and  $H_2O_2$  content of *ndpk2*. (A)  $H_2O_2$ contents (left panel) of wild-type (WT, ecotype Columbia) and ndpk2 seedlings under control conditions or 5 h after transfer to -1.2 MPa PEG. Data are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 4). Right panel shows 10-day-old seedlings of WT and ndpk2 grown under control conditions. (B) ABA-induced Pro accumulation in WT and ndpk2. Seven-day-old seedlings were transferred from control media to the indicated treatments and seedling Pro content measured 96 h later. Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 6-8. Asterisks indicate treatments where the Pro content of ndpk2 was significantly different than that of WT ( $P \le 0.05$ ). (C) Effect of exogenous ABA on root elongation in WT and ndpk2. Root elongation is presented as a percent of the elongation on control media for each genotype. Data are means  $\pm$  SE, n = 8-10. Asterisks indicate treatments where the root elongation of *ndpk2* was significantly different than that of WT ( $P \le 0.01$ )

metabolism in the peroxisome (Liepman and Olsen 2001; Igarashi et al. 2003). This caused a change in the basal level of  $H_2O_2$  in unstressed plants which causes an increase in ABA-induced Pro accumulation. The increased  $H_2O_2$  was also correlated with altered ABA-induced gene expression and reduced ABA accumulation. The reduced ABA accumulation may be caused by a greater sensitivity to ABA feedback in regulating ABA accumulation. These effects likely involve several different mechanisms. ABA and stress induction or repression of gene expression were inhibited because the higher basal  $H_2O_2$  content of *ggt1-1* 

desensitized the initial responses to stress or ABA. In contrast, some responses, such as proline accumulation which was increased in ggt1-1 and ndpk2 even in the absence of ABA treatment, were more directly stimulated by the increased basal level of  $H_2O_2$  and are likely to involve mechanisms other than altered transcription of ABA-responsive genes.

Increased ROS levels stimulate ABA-induced Pro accumulation

The effect of ggt1-1 and ndpk2 on Pro content was particularly intriguing. Since increased Pro content could be seen in both ggt1-1 and ndpk2 and exogenous H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> could also elicit an increase in Pro content, it is unlikely that this phenotype is caused by altered amino acid metabolism in ggt1-1. Instead, it is consistent with the data of Fabro et al. (2004) who observed that leaves infiltrated with xanthine and xanthine oxidase to generate ROS also had an up to fourfold increase in Pro content. The increase in Pro content was linearly related to the amount of xanthine oxidase infiltrated into the leaves indicating a dependence of Pro content on ROS production. The increased Pro content of ggt1-1 is also consistent with other proposals that Pro metabolism is connected to redox regulation and that Pro may itself serve as an antioxidant (Hare et al. 1998).

The increased Pro content of ggt1-1 is also interesting in relation to previous observations that although stress-induced Pro accumulation is dependent on ABA, application of ABA to unstressed plants does not elicit the same level of Pro accumulation seen in stressed plants (Verslues and Bray 2006). Although the levels of Pro seen in ggt1-1 and ndpk2 were not increased to the level seen in stressed plants, the results do suggest that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> can stimulate ABA-induced Pro accumulation and may be one factor in inducing the high levels of Pro accumulation that can occur under low water potential stress. This effect on Pro accumulation is likely to be via mechanisms other than transcriptional regulation of P5CS1 and ProDH as the changes in P5CS1 and ProDH transcript levels that occurred in the initial stress and ABA response of ggt1-1 would suggest a decrease in Pro accumulation. One possibility is that the increased Pro levels of ggt1-1 and *ndpk2* were caused by altered expression of *P5CS2*. Fabro et al. (2004) have shown that P5CS2 was upregulated by incompatible plant-pathogen interactions that are known to also increase ROS levels. Alternatively, the increased Pro observed in ggt1-1 and *ndpk2* could be caused by up regulation of P5CS1 enzyme activity, down regulation of ProDH activity, altered abundance of the P5CS1 or ProDH proteins, altered levels of substrate for Pro synthesis or altered feedback regulation of Pro metabolism.

Moreno et al. (2005) reported that another photorespiratory mutant shmt1-1, which is affected in SHMT1 activity, is more susceptible to pathogen infection than wild-type. They concluded that although ROS production is essential for pathogen resistance, constitutive overaccumulation of ROS actually impaired defense responses. This is similar to our conclusion that constitutive overproduction of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in ggt1-1 impaired ABA regulation of gene expression. Moreno et al. (2005) also suggested the growth of shmt1-1 seedlings was more sensitive to salt stress than wild-type. This differs from our results; however, their plants were also exposed to a higher light intensity than that used in our experiments. Thus, their results may indicate the interaction of salt stress and light stress. It should also be noted that the plant defense associated hormone salicylic acid (SA) can regulate H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels and may interact with ABA (Guan and Scandalios 1995; Rai et al. 1986). Thus, altered SA levels or signaling could also contribute to the phenotypes of shmt1-1 as well as ggt1-1 and ndpk2.

# Altered H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> can affect many aspects of ABA metabolism and ABA signaling

The effect of ggt1-1 on ABA accumulation, along with observations that alterations in ROS metabolism can influence ABA metabolism (Pastori et al. 2003; Rossel et al. 2006); that ABA can regulate the expression of genes related to ROS metabolism (Jiang and Zhang 2002; Fryer et al. 2003; Milla et al. 2003); and that ROS status can influence stress-induced ABA accumulation (Hu et al. 2005) indicate that there are likely to be numerous mechanisms by which ABA and ROS metabolism interact and cross regulate one another. At the cellular level, the recent report that the chloroplast-localized Mg-chelatase H subunit specifically binds ABA and is likely to be an ABA receptor (Shen et al. 2006) supports the idea that  $H_2O_2$  produced in the chloroplast, one likely source of the increased H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production in *ggt1-1*, could affect ABA signaling. At the tissue level, the stimulation of root elongation by ABA in *ndpk2* but not in *ggt1-1* is consistent with the increased  $H_2O_2$  production in *ggt1-1* being confined to photosynthetic tissues while ndpk2 overaccumulates H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by a different mechanism not dependent on photosynthesis. Interestingly, Mullineaux et al. (2006) have discussed the importance of the tissue and subcellular localization of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production as well as a possible link between ABA metabolism and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> via G-proteins which are involved in regulating both  $H_2O_2$ production and ABA signaling. All of these observations strengthen the link between the increased  $H_2O_2$ in *ggt1-1* and its altered ABA response. Overall, our results support the idea that  $H_2O_2$  is part of ABA signaling not just in guard cell responses, as has been well described at the molecular level (Kwak et al. 2006), but also in many other ABA-regulated responses.

#### Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Soil grown plants were grown under continuous light (70  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) using a standard potting mix (Metro Mix). For seedling analysis, seedlings of ggt1-1 and its wild-type (ecotype C24) or ndpk2 and its wild-type (ecotype Columbia) were routinely grown by surface sterilizing seed and plating onto half-strength MS with 6 mM MES (pH 5.7) without the addition of sucrose or other sugars (unless otherwise noted) following the protocols of Verslues et al. (2006). Seeds were stratified for 4 days at 4°C and then transferred to a growth chamber maintained at 23°C and continuous light (70  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and ambient CO<sub>2</sub>. For low water potential treatments, seedlings were grown on top of a nylon mesh overlaid on the agar surface and 6-day-old seedlings were transferred using the mesh to PEGinfused plates prepared according to Verslues et al. (2006). Salt, ABA, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and Asc treatments were performed in the same manner by transferring 6-dayold seedlings to half-strength MS plates without sugar but with the indicated chemicals added to the media. For root elongation measurements, 4-day-old seedlings were transferred individually to the stress or ABA treatments, the initial position of the root apex marked and the increase in root length measured 6 days later. For germination assay, seeds were sown on half-strength MS media without sugar and with the indicated concentration of (+)-ABA and stratified for 4 days at 4°C. Germination was scored based on emergence of the radicle 4 days after the end of the stratification treatment.

For leaf water loss measurements, fully expanded leaves were removed from 4- to 5-week-old plants, placed in tared plastic weighing tissues and incubated under the same conditions used for seedling growth with each sample weighed at the indicated times.

For luminescence analysis, seedlings were grown on MS media with 3% sucrose. Luminescence analysis was performed as previously described (Ishitani et al. 1997) using 7-day-old seedlings. Cold stress was performed by transferring seedlings to 0°C for the indicated amount of time before luminescence measurement. Salt stress was imposed by transferring seedlings to filter paper soaked with MS media containing 300 mM NaCl. For ABA treatment, seedlings were sprayed with 100  $\mu$ M (±)ABA (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) before imaging. Luminescence intensity was quantified for individual seedlings after subtraction of background luminescence.

### RT-PCR and RNA blot analysis

For QPCR analysis, total RNA was extracted using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Oiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). First strand cDNA was synthesized with 1 or 2 µg of total RNA. Real-time PCR quantification of RD29A, RAB18, COR15 and P5CS1, Pro Ox and NCED3 expression was performed essentially as described by Tan et al. (2003) using a Sequence Detection System 7700 instrument (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Quantification of copy number for each gene was done by amplifying a 400-600-bp portion of each gene containing the binding sites for the real-time PCR primers and TaqMan probe. The amplified DNA fragment was purified, quantified spectrophotometrically and diluted appropriately to generate a standard curve for calculation of the copy number of each transcript. Sequences of the oligonucleotides used for QPCR analysis are given in Supplementary Table 1.

For RNA blot analysis of GGT1 expression RNA from control, stressed or ABA treated seedlings was isolated by phenol:chloroform extraction. Ten micrograms of total RNA was separated by denaturing gel electrophoresis and blotted onto nitrocellulose membrane. <sup>32</sup>P-labeled probes were prepared using Prime-It II random primer labeling kit (Stratagene) and hybridization and washing performed using standard techniques. A *GGT1* specific probe was prepared by restriction digestion of the cloned cDNA and gel purification of a fragment from a *GGT1* specific region.

### Quantification of ABA, Pro and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>

ABA was assayed by radioimmunoassay as previously described (Bray and Beachy 1985; Verslues and Bray 2006). Pro was assayed using the ninhydrin-based colorimetric assay of Bates et al. (1973).  $H_2O_2$  was assayed using an Amplex Red  $H_2O_2$  assay kit (Molecular Probes/Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the protocols of Shin and Schachtman (2004). Briefly, seedling or leaf samples were ground in liquid nitrogen, placed into chilled, preweighed 2 ml microcentrifuge

tubes and weighed while frozen to obtain the fresh weight of the sample. At the time of analysis, samples were suspended in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer and assayed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Data were statistically analyzed using standard twotailed *t*-test and significant differences are reported in the figures or figure legends.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by NIH grant 5R01GM059138 to J.-K.Z., by NSF grant IBN-0420152 to J.-K.Z and by an NIH postdoctoral fellowship (1F32GM074445) to P.E.V. We thank Giltsu Choi and Pill-Soon Song (Kumho Life and Environmental Science Laboratory, Korea) for the gift of *ndpk2* seed. We also thank the laboratory of Dr Robert Heath for use of equipment for the Pro measurements and Rebecca Stevenson for technical assistance.

### References

- Asada K (2006) Production and scavenging of reactive oxygen species in chloroplasts and their functions. Plant Physiol 141:391–396
- Ball L, Accotto G-P, Bechtold U, Creissen G, Funck D, Jimenez A, Kular B, Leyland N, Mejia-Carranza J, Reynolds H, Karpinski S, Mullineaux PM (2004) Evidence for a direct link between glutathione biosynthesis and stress defense gene expression in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 16:2448–2462
- Bates LS, Waldren RP, Teare ID (1973) Rapid determination of free proline in water-stress studies. Plant Soil 39:205–207
- Bray EA, Beachy RN (1985) Regulation by ABA of  $\beta$ -conglycinin expression in cultured developing soybean cotyledons. Plant Physiol 79:746–750
- Bright J, Desikan R, Hancock JT, Weir IS, Neill SJ (2006) ABAinduced NO generation and stomatal closure in Arabidopsis are dependent on H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis. Plant J 45:113–122
- Chang CCC, Ball L, Fryer MJ, Baker NR, Karpinski S, Mullineaux PM (2004) Induction of ASCORBATE PEROXIDASE 2 expression in wounded Arabidopsis leaves does not involve known wound-signaling pathways but is associated with changes in photosynthesis. Plant J 38:499–511
- Cho Y-H, Yoo S-D, Sheen J (2006) Regulatory function of nuclear hexokinase1 complex in glucose signaling. Cell 127:579–589
- del Rio LA, Sandalio LM, Corpas FJ, Palma JM, Barroso JB (2006) Reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species in peroxisomes. Production, scavenging, and role in cell signaling. Plant Physiol 141:330–335
- Fabro G, Kovács I, Pavet V, Szabados L, Alvarez ME (2004) Proline accumulation and *AtP5CS2* gene activation are induced by plant-pathogen incompatible interactions in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 17:343–350
- Finkelstein RR, Gampala SSL, Rock CD (2002) Abscisic acid signaling in seeds and seedlings. Plant Cell 14:S15–S45
- Foyer CH, Noctor G (2003) Redox sensing and signalling associated with reactive oxygen in chloroplasts, peroxisomes and mitochondria. Physiol Plant 119:355–364
- Foyer CH, Noctor G (2005) Redox homeostasis and antioxidant signaling: a metabolic interface between stress perception and physiological responses. Plant Cell 17:1866–1875
- Fryer MJ, Ball L, Oxborough K, Karpinski S, Mullineaux PM, Baker NR (2003) Control of Ascorbate Peroxidase 2

expression by hydrogen peroxide and leaf water status during excess light stress reveals a functional organisation of Arabidopsis leaves. Plant J 33:691–705

- Guan L, Scandalios JG (1995) Developmentally related responses of maize catalase genes to salicylic acid. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:5930–5934
- Hare PD, Cress WA, Van Staden J (1998) Dissecting the roles of osmolyte accumulation during stress. Plant Cell Env 21:535– 553
- Hu JF, Li GF, Gao ZH, Chen L, Ren HB, Jia WS (2005) Regulation of water deficit-induced abscisic acid accumulation by apoplastic ascorbic acid in maize seedlings. J Integr Plant Biol 47:1335–1344
- Igarashi D, Miwa T, Seki M, Kobayashi M, Kato T, Tabata S, Shinozaki K, Ohsumi C (2003) Identification of photorespiratory *glutamate:glyoxylate aminotransferase* (*GGAT*) gene in Arabidopsis. Plant J 33:975–987
- Igarashi D, Tsuchida H, Miyao M, Ohsumi C (2006) Glutamate:glyoxylate aminotransferase (GGAT) modulates amino acid contents during photorespiration. Plant Physiol Preview. doi:10.1104/pp106.085514
- Imai R, Moses MS, Bray EA (1995) Expression of an ABAinduced gene of tomato in transgenic tobacco during periods of water deficit. J Exp Bot 46:1077–1084
- Ishitani M, Xiong L, Stevenson B, Zhu J (1997) Genetic analysis of osmotic and cold stress signal transduction in Arabidopsis: interactions and convergence of abscisic acid-dependent and abscisic acid-independent pathways. Plant Cell 9:1935– 1949
- Jiang MY, Zhang JH (2002) Water stress-induced abscisic acid accumulation triggers the increased generation of reactive oxygen species and up-regulates the activities of antioxidant enzymes in maize leaves. J Exp Bot 53:2401–2410
- Kiyosue T, Yoshiba Y, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K (1996) A nuclear gene encoding mitochondrial proline dehydrogenase, an enzyme involved in proline metabolism, is upregulated by proline but downregulated by dehydration in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 8:1323–1335
- Kwak JM, Mori IC, Pei Z-M, Leonhardt N, Torres MA, Dangl JL, Bloom RE, Bodde S, Jones JDG, Schroeder JI (2003) NADPH oxidase AtrohoD and AtrohoF genes function in ROS-dependent ABA signaling in Arabidopsis. EMBO J 22:2623–2633
- Kwak JM, Nguyen V, Schroeder JI (2006) The role of reactive oxygen species in hormonal responses. Plant Physiol 141:323–329
- Liepman AH, Olsen LJ (2001) Peroxisomal alanine:glyoxylate aminotransferase (AGT1) is a photorespiratory enzyme with multiple substrates in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J 25:487–498
- Liepman AH, Olsen LJ (2003) Alanine aminotransferase homologs catalyze the glutamate:glyoxylate aminotransferase reaction in peroxisomes of Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 131:215–227
- Liepman AH, Olsen LJ (2004) Genomic analysis of aminotransferases in Arabidopsis thaliana. Crit Rev Plant Sci 23:73–89
- Milla MAR, Maurer A, Huete AR, Gustafson JP (2003) Glutathione peroxidase genes in Arabidopsis are ubiquitous and regulated by abiotic stresses through diverse signaling pathways. Plant J 36:602–615
- Moon H, Lee B, Choi G, Shin S, Prasad DT, Lee O, Kwak SS, Kim DH, Nam J, Bahk J, Hong JC, Lee SY, Cho MJ, Lim CO, Yun DJ (2003) NDP kinase 2 interacts with two oxidative stress-activated MAPKs to regulate cellular redox

state and enhances multiple stress tolerance in transgenic plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:358-363

- Moore B, Zhou L, Rolland F, Hall Q, Cheng WH, Liu YX, Hwang I, Jones T, Sheen J (2003) Role of the Arabidopsis glucose sensor HXK1 in nutrient, light, and hormonal signaling. Science 300:332–336
- Moreno JI, Martin R, Castresana C (2005) Arabidopsis SHMT1, a serine hydroxymethyltransferase that functions in the photorespiratory pathway influences resistance to biotic and abiotic stress. Plant J 41:451–463
- Mori IC, Schroeder JI (2004) Reactive oxygen species activation of plant Ca2+ channels. A signaling mechanism in polar growth, hormone transduction, stress signaling, and hypothetically mechanotransduction. Plant Physiol 135:702–708
- Mullineaux PM, Karpinski S, Baker NR (2006) Spatial dependence for hydrogen peroxide-directed signaling in lightstressed plants. Plant Physiol 141:346–350
- op den Camp RGL, Przybyla D, Ochsenbein C, Laloi C, Kim C, Danon A, Wagner D, Hideg E, Gobel C, Feussner I, Nater M, Apel K (2003) Rapid induction of distinct stress responses after the release of singlet oxygen in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 15:2320–2332
- Pastori GM, Foyer CH (2002) Common components, networks, and pathways of cross-tolerance to stress. The central role of "redox" and abscisic acid-mediated controls. Plant Physiol 129
- Pastori GM, Kiddle G, Antoniw J, Bernard S, Veljovic-Jovanovic S, Verrier PJ, Noctor G, Foyer CH (2003) Leaf vitamin C contents modulate plant defense transcripts and regulate genes that control development through hormone signaling. Plant Cell 15:939–951
- Pavet V, Olmos E, Kiddle G, Mowla S, Kumar S, Antoniw J, Alvarez ME, Foyer CH (2005) Ascorbic acid deficiency activates cell death and disease resistance responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 139:1291–1303
- Rai V, Sharma S, Sharma S (1986) Reversal of ABA-induced stomatal closure by phenolic compounds. J Exp Bot 37:129–134
- Rhoads DM, Umbach AL, Subbaiah CC, Siedow JN (2006) Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species. Contribution to oxidative stress and interorganellar signaling. Plant Physiol 141:357–366
- Rossel JB, Walter PB, Hendrickson L, Chow WS, Poole A, Mullineaux PM, Pogson BJ (2006) A mutation affecting ASCORBATE PEROXIDASE 2 gene expression reveals a link between responses to high light and drought tolerance. Plant Cell Environ 29:269–281
- Sagi M, Fluhr R (2006) Production of reactive oxygen species by plant NADPH oxidases. Plant Physiol 141:336–340
- Sharp RE, Wu Y, Voetberg GS, Saab IN, LeNoble ME (1994) Confirmation that abscisic accumulation is required for maize primary root elongation at low water potentials. J Exp Bot 45:1743–1751
- Shen Y-Y, Wang X-F, Wu F-Q, Du S-Y, Cao Z, Shang Y, Wang X-L, Peng C-C, Yu X-C, Zhu S-Y, Fan R-C, Xu Y-H, Zhang D-P (2006) The Mg-chelatase H subunit is an abscisic acid receptor. Nature 443:823–826
- Shin R, Schachtman DP (2004) Hydrogen peroxide mediates plant root cell response to nutrient deprivation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:8827–8832
- Tan BC, Joseph LM, Deng WT, Liu LJ, Li QB, Cline K, McCarty DR (2003) Molecular characterization of the Arabidopsis 9-cis epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase gene family. Plant J 35:44–56
- Van Breusegem F, Dat JF (2006) Reactive oxygen species in plant cell death. Plant Physiol 141:384–390

- Verslues PE, Bray EA (2006) Role of abscisic acid (ABA) and *Arabidopsis thaliana* ABA-insensitive loci in low water potential-induced ABA and proline accumulation. J Exp Bot 57:201–212
- Verslues PE, Agarwal M, Katiyar-Agarwal S, Zhu JH, Zhu JK (2006) Methods and concepts in quantifying resistance to drought, salt and freezing, abiotic stresses that affect plant water status. Plant J 45:523–539
- Wingler A, Lea PJ, Quick WP, Leegood RC (2000) Photorespiration: metabolic pathways and their role in stress protection. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B 355:1517–1529
- Xiong L, Zhu J-K (2003) Regulation of abscisic acid biosynthesis. Plant Physiol 133:29–36
- Xiong L, Ishitani M, Zhu J-K (1999) Interaction of osmotic stress, temperature, and abscisic acid in the regulation of gene expression in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 119:205–211
- Xiong L, Lee H, Ishitani M, Zhu J (2002) Regulation of osmotic stress-responsive gene expression by the LOS6/ABA1 locus in Arabidopsis. J Biol Chem 277:8588–8596
- Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K (1993) Characterization of the expression of a desiccation-responsive rd29 gene of Arabidopsis thaliana and analysis of its promoter in transgenic plants. Mol Gen Genet 236:331–340

- Zeevaart JAD (1999) Abscisic acid metabolism and its regulation. In: Hall M, Libbenga K (eds) Biochemistry and molecular biology of plant hormones. Elsevier Science B.V
- Zhang CS, Lu Q, Verma DPS (1995) Removal of feedback inhibition of  $\Delta^1$ -pyrroline–5-carboxylate synthetase, a bifunctional enzyme catalyzing the first 2 steps of proline biosynthesis in plants. J Biol Chem 270:20491–20496
- Zhang X, Zhang L, Dong FC, Gao JF, Galbraith DW, Song CP (2001) Hydrogen peroxide is involved in abscisic acidinduced stomatal closure in *Vicia faba*. Plant Physiol 126:1438–1448
- Zhu J-K (2002) Salt and drought stress signal transduction in plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol 53:247–273
- Zhu JH, Gong Z, Zhang C, Song C-P, Damsz B, Inan G, Koiwa H, Zhu J-K, Hasegawa PM, Bressan RA (2002) OSM1/ SYP61: a syntaxin protein in Arabidopsis controls abscisic acid-mediated and non-abscisic acid-mediated responses to abiotic stress. Plant Cell 14:3009–3028
- Zhu JH, Verslues PE, Zheng XW, Lee B, Zhan XQ, Manabe Y, Sokolchik I, Zhu YM, Dong CH, Zhu J-K, Hasegawa PM, Bressan RA (2005) HOS10 encodes an R2R3-type MYB transcription factor essential for cold acclimation in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:9966–9971