Predicting rare events: Evaluating systemic and idiosyncratic risk

The 2008 financial crisis showed that idiosyncratic and rare events, which are initially perceived to be isolated (e.g., the Lehman bankruptcy in September 2008), can quickly turn into systemic events that threaten the stability and function of entire economies. Given the enormous consequences of a global financial crisis, it is very important to develop new techniques and approaches for monitoring, predicting, and eventually preventing financial crises. By their very nature, rare events occur very infrequently, but when they do occur, their consequences are catastrophic. Natural disasters, such as earthquakes or floods, are rare events that, for the most part, happen in isolation, and are considered “acts of God”. On the other hand, when we consider an economic system, such low probability and high magnitude catastrophic events do not happen spontaneously or in isolation, and are “acts of man”. It is precisely because of their lack of spontaneity and their human causation that there is hope for forecasting economic rare events.

In the aftermath of the 2008 meltdown, there was an additional crisis of confidence in economic forecasters and their methods. The academic community responded with unusual zest, exploring new research ideas, revisiting old methods, and considering approaches from other disciplines. The 9th Workshop of the International Institute of Forecasters (IIF), sponsored by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (FRBSF) and organized by Gloria González-Rivera (UC-Riverside and IIF), José López (FRBSF), and Óscar Jordá (UC-Davis and FRBSF), brought together academicians and regulators to discuss the predictability of rare but systemic events, as well as the monitoring and propagation of idiosyncratic risks, in order that financial crises may be averted. At the workshop, twelve papers were presented and discussed by the participants (for a summary, see González-Rivera, Jordá, & López, 2012), nine of which appear in this special issue.

As connectedness becomes the key concept to explore, this collection of papers takes a multivariate approach to the modeling of systemic risk. Broadly speaking, the general aim is to find early signals that can detect when a financial crisis is imminent. The public data sets analyzed in these papers are informative, and the techniques used to tease out such information are diverse and have a multidisciplinary bent. Some papers are rooted in pure econometric methods like multivariate quantile estimation and multivariate volatility models, while others borrow statistical techniques like signal extraction and classification methods, and still others borrow insights from physics, like network theory. The good news is that the ‘rare event’ is predictable; at the very least, it can be measured probabilistically. The econometric and statistical methodologies used are sufficiently sophisticated to be able to measure the sources of fragility in the economic system and to detect in advance when the system is at risk. The following papers are classified roughly into three topics: stress testing, early warning signals, and financial networks.

Within the stress-testing group, we present two papers. The first, “Stress-Testing US Bank Holding Companies: A Dynamic Panel Quantile Regression Approach” by Covas, Rump, and Zakržak, shows how to construct density forecasts of the losses associated with different loan portfolios and trading activities, which are generated from quantile autoregressions. Based on these forecasts, and under the stress scenarios contemplated in CCAR 2012, the authors simulate capital shortfalls for several US bank holding companies. These shortfalls are significantly higher than those based on a linear model. Commenting on this paper, Matthew Pristker (Federal Reserve Bank of Boston) underscores the authors’ contribution by pointing out that, empirically, the conditional distribution of losses is most relevant in stress scenarios and that the autocorrelation of bank losses must vary by quantile in order to capture the heavy left tail of the distribution of P/L during the 2008–09 financial crisis.
The second paper, “Stress Testing Banks” by Schuer- man, argues that the objective of stress testing is to convert uncertainty into a risk assessment by mapping a view of the world (macro-scenarios) into micro-outcomes (e.g., higher losses, lower revenues). Once stressful macro scenarios have been defined, stress testing is purely an exercise in forecasting; that is, dynamic projections of revenues, income/loss, and their effects on the evolution of the institution’s balance sheet, taking into consideration the regulatory capital and liquidity ratios. The author argues that, in order for stress testing to be successful, there must first be credible bank information, then, following a revelation of the bank’s capital needs, sovereigns must have the (credible) ability to fill such needs.

The next three papers deal with the construction of early warning signals, and all three underscore the role of credit conditions. In “Assessing the Historical Role of Credit: Business Cycles, Financial Crises, and the Legacy of Charles S. Peirce”, Jordà discusses a few modern statistical methods (statistical learning, signal processing, and classification methods) for evaluating predictors of rare events, which mainly involve the prediction of binary events. In this context, the success of the forecast is measured by the economic consequences of the actions taken as a result of the forecast, rather than by the standard metrics of prediction accuracy. After reviewing 140 years of economic history for 14 developed economies, the author argues that, though the accumulation of private credit may not explain recessions, it can explain when a recession will turn into a financial crisis.

In “Nowcasting and Forecasting Global Financial Sector Stress and Credit Market Dislocation”, Schwaab, Koopman, and Lucas propose coincident and forward-looking indicators of the global systemic financial risk, as well as an indicator of credit market dislocation, which is defined as a persistent decoupling from macro-financial fundamentals. The authors find that, in the past, such a decoupling has preceded episodes of financial distress, and thus, such a measure may serve as an early warning signal for policymakers.

In “Evaluating Early Warning Indicators of Banking Crises: Satisfying Policy Requirements”, Drehmann and Juselius argue that an ideal warning indicator of banking crises should be precise, have correct timing, and issue stable signals given an objective function. They evaluate various different indicators, and find that the credit-to-GDP gap is the best indicator at long horizons, and the debt service ratio is the best at shorter horizons.

The next two papers model systemic financial risk using a network theory approach. In “Forecasting Systemic Impact in Financial Networks”, Hautsch, Schaumburg and Schienle, using only publicly available daily market data (including the 2008/09 financial crisis), determine the time-varying systemic risk networks at a quarterly frequency, and predict the systemic relevance of a given financial institution as the marginal impact of the individual downside risks on systemic distress. This network approach permits the dynamic monitoring of a specific firm’s role as either a risk transmitter or a risk recipient. The discussant, Galina Hale (Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco), praises this contribution for providing a measure of a bank’s systemic importance that is practical and can be computed in real time. However, such a measure produces risk rankings that are highly volatile, and further efforts should be made to work on a smoother version.

The next paper, by Fushing, Jordà, Beisner, and McCowan, has an intriguing title: “Computing Systemic Risk using Multiple Behavioral and Keystone Networks: The Emergence of a Crisis in Primate Societies and Banks”. Based on social network theory, the authors analyze commonalities between a network of monkeys in captivity and the architecture of a financial system. Though their comparison is somewhat unconventional, the foundations underlying “instability” in the two systems are quite similar. They consider the banking system as a dynamic and multi-layered network in which crises may be generated endogenously. A system includes a primary or Keystone network that summarizes the overall relationship status (hierarchy) across nodes, and a set of subsidiary networks, which are related to the Keystone network. A crisis refers to the social collapse of the hierarchy of the group, and is characterized by a decoupling of the subsidiary networks from the Keystone network. The authors use nonparametric methods to study the interconnectedness of the networks and the process of decoupling. Their analysis provides early warning signals before the arrival of the tipping point that brings total collapse.

Finally, a couple of observations related to investing behavior: firstly, as the world’s economies become more connected, it seems that the gains from diversification are disappearing; and secondly, commodity prices seem to be more predictable during recessions. In “Correlation Dynamics and International Diversification Benefits”, the authors, Christoffersen, Errunza, Jacobs, and Jin, present dynamic patterns and trends in correlations for international equity returns over the period 1973–2012. They show that the level of correlation across markets has increased, chiefly in developed markets, but also in emerging markets to a lesser extent. Consequently, the benefits of diversification have been reduced drastically in developed markets, though there are still significant benefits in emerging markets, especially in severe market downturns. Gargano and Timmermann, in “Forecasting Commodity Price Indexes Using Macroeconomic and Financial Predictors”, explore the out-of-sample predictability of commodity spot prices over the period 1947–2010 at the monthly, quarterly, and annual horizons. The strongest predictability is found at the quarterly horizon for metals and raw industrial indexes, while the weakest is for fats/oils, foods, and livestock. The predictability of commodity prices is highly state-dependent, so that there is more predictive power during recessions than during expansions. The discussant, Jan Groen (Federal Reserve Bank of New York), considers that predictability during recessions is an important contribution, and would be particularly useful when formulating an inflation outlook.

I would like to thank the presenters, discussants, and participants in the workshop for making a lively meeting with so many innovative contributions. My thanks to the many referees who have helped me to evaluate this collection of papers; their insightful and constructive remarks have contributed to an even better special issue.
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