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A promising approach to high-efficiency solar energy conversion uses molecules consisting of an electron donor—acceptor pair separated by a chemical bridge as components of solar electrochemical cells. Conjugated organic bridges in such donor—bridge—acceptor (DBA) compounds have been shown to act as molecular “wires”. However, the ideal bridge would be an asymmetric wire or “diode”—promoting electron transfer (ET) from donor to acceptor while resisting ET in the reverse direction and thus suppressing charge recombination. Our recent studies of excitation energy transfer (EET) in meta-linked phenylacetylene (PA) dendrons suggest a route to this goal. It is well-known that meta linkages lead to electronic decoupling of the substituents in the ground electronic state. We showed that this same meta linkage undergoes enhanced electronic coupling in the excited state. The similarity of the electronic coupling matrix elements in EET and ET further suggests that DBA complexes with a meta-substituted bridge could act as gated wires. The bridge would be a wire or resistor when in its excited or ground electronic state, respectively. If de-excitation of the bridge coincides with the forward ET event, the effective result is a “one-electron wire”. Here, we demonstrate that such an asymmetric wire can indeed be created. Both para- and meta-linked PA bridges act as good conduits for forward excited-state ET, but the meta bridge effectively prevents recombination, leading to the asymmetric behavior. While ET through para- and meta linkages in model DBA compounds has been studied previously in terms of both through-bond and through-space mechanisms, these studies have focused on the ground state of the bridge.

The insets in Figure 1 show the two DBA molecules (denoted Meta and Para) that are the subject of this Communication. Carbazole (donor) and naphthalimide (acceptor) units are linked by a para- or meta-conjugated PA bridge. Steady-state spectral properties of both are shown in Figure 1, where the solvent polarity is tuned using mixtures of toluene and CH2Cl2. The PA bridge is partially conjugated to the donor—acceptor moieties, as can be seen from the fact that both absorptions are considerably red-shifted compared to those of naphthalimide (340 nm) and carbazole (365 nm). While absorption does not change with solvent composition, the emission red-shifts, broadens, and decreases in intensity with increasing solvent polarity. The different dependences of absorption and emission on solvent polarity indicate that they originate from two different electronic states. Multireference semiempirical quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical calculations (Table 1) show that absorption corresponds to a low-lying neutral excited state (DBA)+ for both Para and Meta in low- and high-polarity solvents, cyclohexane and dimethylformamide (DMF). A higher excited state with charge-transfer (CT) character, D+BA−, becomes the lowest-energy emitting state after excited-state relaxation. Both the steady-state spectra and theoretical calculations suggest a three-state model where the initial absorption event between neutral states, DBA to (DBA)+, which are largely insensitive to solvent polarity, is followed by electron transfer to D+BA−, whose energy depends strongly on polarity. This is shown schematically in Figure 2.

We use time-resolved spectroscopy to determine the forward and backward ET rates for Para and Meta in toluene. Using femtosecond transient absorption measurements, with 150 fs time resolution and excitation at 400 nm, we observe a broad excited-state absorption ranging across the visible spectrum in both molecules. This spectrum, shown in Figure 3a for Meta, rapidly converts into a double-peaked spectrum. A global fit of the data at probe wavelengths from 475 to 775 nm reveals two principal components, shown in Figure 3b, which interconvert with a single-exponential time: 4.5 ps in Meta and 5.4 ps in Para. We take this to be the formation time of the D+BA− state after excitation to the (DBA)+ state. After this initial rapid decay, we observe only very slow emissions.

Table 1. Excited-State Properties at Absorption and Emission Geometries in Polar (DMF) and Nonpolar (Toluene/Cyclohexane) Solvents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Meta</th>
<th></th>
<th>Para</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>polar</td>
<td>nonpolar</td>
<td>polar</td>
<td>nonpolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(abs) (eV)</td>
<td>3.77 (3.17)</td>
<td>3.79 (3.15)</td>
<td>3.51 (3.05)</td>
<td>3.56 (3.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDM/DM</td>
<td>2.5/10</td>
<td>2.5/9</td>
<td>3.9/16</td>
<td>3.8/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(em) (eV)</td>
<td>2.37 (n/m)</td>
<td>2.53 (2.65)</td>
<td>2.07 (1.86)</td>
<td>2.76 (2.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDM/DM</td>
<td>0.5/63</td>
<td>0.3/60</td>
<td>3.4/53</td>
<td>4.8/26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Experimental values in parentheses. Transition dipole moment (TDM) and dipole moment (DM) lengths are in debye.
minor changes in the spectral shape over the course of hundreds of picoseconds. Preliminary pump–probe experiments in more polar solvents such as CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and DMF show the same initial decay, accelerated by at least a factor of 2, providing further evidence that it reflects the forward electron-transfer event.

Figure 3c shows the measured fluorescence decays of Meta and Para in toluene. In both molecules, fluorescence measurements in toluene reveal emission from the initially excited neutral state which disappears within the 15 ps instrument response time, replaced by a much longer-lived, red-shifted CT emission. Unlike the forward charge separation times, the charge recombination times extracted from these data are quite different: 16.8 ns for Meta and 1.8 ns for Para. Thus, the ratio of forward/backward ET rates is ~300 in Para and ~3500 in Meta, making Meta better suited for photovoltaic applications.

The greater rate asymmetry in Meta results from two factors: the similar forward ET rates and the more rapid recombination in Para. The fact that the rates of CT state formation differ by only about 20% is surprising considering the conventional picture that meta conjugation prevents electronic communication. But when the donor and/or acceptor is electronically coupled to the bridge moiety, as is the case here, the excited-state properties of the bridge must be taken into account. Both theory and experiment have demonstrated that phenyl compounds in their lowest excited state exhibit much stronger coupling between meta substituents than in the ground state. Recent ab initio calculations showed how excitonic coupling between meta-linked PA segments is enhanced by a factor of 7.3 in the excited state, versus a factor of 1.3 for para-linked PAs. This provides a qualitative explanation of why Meta’s forward ET rate is comparable to that of Para.

The second factor is the slower recombination rate in Meta. After forward ET, the bridge is presumably no longer in its excited state, and the electronic coupling decreases. Neglecting vibrational Franck–Condon effects, this decreased coupling results in a smaller recombination rate. To confirm this decreased electronic coupling in Meta, we need to determine the electron-transfer matrix elements between the D$^+$BA$^-$ state and the ground state and the neutral excited state (denoted V and V*, as shown in Figure 2). By measuring the fluorescence quantum yields, we determine the radiative and nonradiative components of the total fluorescence decay rate, $k_r$ and $k_{nr}$. For Para, $k_r = 4.2 \times 10^8$ s$^{-1}$ and $k_{nr} = 1.1 \times 10^8$ s$^{-1}$, and for Meta, $k_r = 1.4 \times 10^7$ s$^{-1}$ and $k_{nr} = 4.7 \times 10^7$ s$^{-1}$. $k_r$ for Para is 30 times larger than that of Meta, while the $k_{nr}$ values differ only by a factor of 2.2, and this larger $k_r$ leads to rapid radiative recombination. The radiative rate of a CT state is determined by its coupling to both the ground state and nearby neutral excited states, and the most general expression is quite complicated.

We examine two limiting cases where the solutions are well-known and show that in either one, the factor of 30 increase in $k_r$ can be explained only by a larger electronic matrix element V or V*. In the first case, $V \gg V*$ and $k_r$ is given by

$$k_r = 3.137 \times 10^{-7} (V \Delta \mu)^2 \nu_f$$

while in the second case, $V ^* \gg V$ and $k_r$ is given by

$$k_r = 3.137 \times 10^{-7} (V^* \mu^*)^2 \nu_f$$

where $n$ is the refractive index, $\nu_f$ and $\nu_0$ are the peaks of the absorption and fluorescence spectra, respectively, $\Delta \mu$ is the change in permanent dipole between the DBA and D$^+$BA$^-$ states, and $\mu^*$ is the transition dipole between DBA and (DBA)$^*$. Using either measured or calculated values for $\nu_f$, $\nu_0$, $\mu^*$, and $\Delta \mu$ in eqs 1 and 2, only modest changes in $k_r$ less than a factor of 2 can be obtained.

In conclusion, we have shown that the two isolectronic DBA compounds have similar forward but very different backward ET rates. The origin of this difference lies in the excited-state enhancement of electronic coupling through the meta bridge: it acts like a wire in the excited state, allowing facile forward ET, while in its ground state the meta linkage acts like an insulator, preventing electronic communication. To exploit the asymmetry of the meta bridge, the initially absorbed photon must both provide energy for the CT event and place the bridge in its excited state. In our molecules, this is accomplished by having the bridge partially conjugated to the donor–acceptor groups. It is an interesting question whether more weakly coupled bridge structures can exhibit similar behavior.
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